SANTAMARIA AFFIDAVIT EXHIBIT N PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION PP 86-87

Page 86

- 1 JANKOUSKY 86 2 that there was a deposit at another branch the 3 day before --4 Α Yes. 5 Q -- was that researched? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Was such a deposit made? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 Did it clear? 10 Α I don't know if that deposit cleared that day. No, I don't know. It was 11 12 put into, I believe, it was encoded 13 improperly. It wasn't showing up in his 14 account. So as a result, this thing hit the 15 overdraft list? 16
- A Yes.
- 18 Q How did Mr. Santamaria become
- 19 involved, he saw it on the list?
- A He saw it on the list, and he noted
- 21 that the R for return was going on and off and
- 22 called about that. So he saw that the
- 23 decision was being changed.
- Q Was it reasonable for him to call
- up and find out what was going on since the R

Page 87 1 JANKOUSKY 87 2 was going on and off? MS. GOODELL: Objection to the form 3 of the question. 5 Α Was it reasonable, I quess. I quess it could be. 6 Did you talk to him about it? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 Was there a resolution? I mean he 10 made an inquiry. 11 You talked to him, was there a resolution of the issue? 12 Α 13 Yes. 14 0 What was the resolution of the 15 issue? 16 Α Pay the check. 17 0 Were you in agreement with that? 18 Α I was fine with that, yes. 19 Were there any other incidents with Q 20 Paul Santamaria? 21 There was CSRs, incentive compensation referrals, that were deemed to be 22 23 incorrect, were fraudulent. 24 0 What's a CSR incentive compensation 25 referral?